



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/593,271 10/01/90 SULLIVAN THER-002B EXAMINER SCHWADRON, R FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, 13M1 GARRETT AND DUNNER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1300 I STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3315 1806 DATE MAILED: 03/01/93 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS RECEIVED FINNEGAN, HENDERSON MAR 2 2 1993, FARABOW, GAPPETT & DUNNER This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on GROUP #860inal. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire. days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(8) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 6. 🗆 SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8.

Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable. In not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _ _ has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on . __, has been
approved.
disapproved (see explanation). 12.
Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has
been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. 13.

Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other **Due Date** Action Ву

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

ATTENTION! S.P.E DAVID LACEY GROUP ART UNIT 1806

PATENT

Attorney Docket No.: 04249.0002-02000

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

SULLIVAN ET AL.

Serial No.: 07/593,271) Group Art Unit: 1806

Filed: October 1, 1990) Examiner: R. Schwadron

For: ANTIBODY PURIFICATION

PROCESS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED MAR 2 2 1993. GROUP 1800

COMMUNICATION

Further to the telephone conference between David Lacey and the undersigned on March 19, 1993, applicants request that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office reset the Period of Response to the Office Action in the above referenced application. The events leading up to this request are as follows.

- 1. On March 2, 1993, applicants' representative received the original Office Action mailed March 1, 1993. This Office Action was barely legible and virtually impossible to photocopy for forwarding to applicants. (A photocopy of the March 1, 1993, Office Action is enclosed.)
- 2. On March 8, 1993, Lawrence B. Bugaisky contacted
 Examiner Schwadron, requesting a better copy of the Office
 Action, and was informed that the Examiner would discuss this

clock reset Rs 3-26-93

LAW OFFICES
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON
FARABOW, GARRETT
& DUNNER
1300 I STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005
1-202-408-4000

with his Supervisory Primary Examiner (S.P.E.), David Lacey. On March 10, 1993, Examiner Schwadron informed us that we must contact Mr. Lacey in order to get another copy of the Office Action. Mr. Bugaisky contacted Mr. Lacey on March 10, 1993, and was informed that the Examiner would attempt to send us a better copy.

The new copy was received at our office on March 18, 1993.

3. In a telephone conference between the undersigned and Mr. Lacey on March 19, 1993, Mr. Lacey indicated his willingness to consider resetting the date of the Office Action to March 19, 1993. In the conference, the undersigned noted that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office required applicants to provide a copy of the entire prosecution history of this application, which could not be found, according to a letter from Robert A. Fenwick, Jr., dated September 15, 1992. Applicants submit that it is not unreasonable to request resetting of the response time to provide applicants with the full three months to consider the new copy of the Office Action. Therefore, applicants respectfully request that the time for response be reset in the above-referenced application.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER

By:

Jane E. R. Potter Reg. No. 33,332

Dated: March 22, 1993

LAW OFFICES
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON
FARABOW, GARRETT
& DUNNER
1300 I STREET, N. W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005
1-202-408-4000